arXiv:0907.3692v1 [math.FA] 21 Jul 2009

INTERPOLATION OF COMPACT LIPSCHITZ OPERATORS

MICHAEL CWIKEL, ALON IVTSAN AND EITAN TADMOR

Abstract. Let (A_0, A_1) and (B_0, B_1) be Banach couples such that $A_0 \subset A_1$ and (B_0, B_1) satisfies Arne Persson's approximation condition (H). Let $T : A_1 \to B_1$ be a possibly nonlinear Lipschitz mapping which also maps A_0 into B_0 and satisfies the quantitative compactnesss condition $Ta \in \|a\|_{A_0} K$ for each $a \in A_0$, where K is a fixed compact subset of B_0. We show that T maps $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta,p}$ compactly into $(B_0, B_1)_{\theta,p}$ for each $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$.

This paper can be considered as a sequel to [6]. Since the introduction to [6] provides exactly the background and references which are relevant here, we shall mostly avoid repetition and request the reader to be familiar with the first three pages of that paper. However it will be convenient here to restate the following result which appeared as Theorem 2 on p. 2 of [6].

Theorem 1. *Let (A_0, A_1) and (B_0, B_1) be Banach couples. Suppose that $A_0 \subset A_1$. Let T be a (possibly nonlinear) map of A_1 into B_1 which satisfies the following two properties:*

$$T(A_0) \subset B_0 \text{ and } \|T(a)\|_{B_0} \le C_0 \|a\|_{A_0} \text{ for each } a \in A_0\,, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\|Ta - Ta'\|_{B_1} \le C_1 \|a - a'\|_{A_1} \text{ for all } a, a' \in A_1\,. \tag{2}$$

where C_0 and C_1 are positive constants.

Then T maps the space $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta,p}$ boundedly into $(B_0, B_1)_{\theta,p}$ for each $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$, and satisfies the estimate

$$\|Ta\|_{(B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}} \le C_0^{1-\theta} C_1^{\theta} \|a\|_{(A_0,A_1)_{\theta,p}} \text{ for all } a \in (A_0, A_1)_{\theta,p}\,. \tag{3}$$

Two paragraphs after stating this theorem we posed a question regarding interpolation of compactness properties of T and later showed that the answer to it is negative. But now, in the present paper, we will obtain an affirmative answer to a variant of that question. Following in the footsteps of Arne Persson [11], we will only consider Banach couples (B_0, B_1) which satisfy a certain approximation condition which will be recalled below. Then, if instead of merely requiring T to map A_0 into B_0 compactly (i.e., to map bounded subsets of A_0 to relatively compact subsets of B_0), we require this map to be compact in a more quantitative or "uniform" sense, also to be specified below, this suffices to ensure that T maps $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta,p}$ compactly into $(B_0, B_1)_{\theta,p}$ for each $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and each $p \in [1, \infty]$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B70, Secondary 47H99, 46B50.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear operators, Lipschitz operators, compact operators, interpolation space.

The research of the first named author was supported by the Technion V.P.R. Fund and by the Fund for Promotion of Research at the Technion.

Theorem 1 is well known and is a simple special case of various more elaborate results due to Jacques-Louis Lions [8], Jaak Peetre [10] and Luc Tartar [12]. For the reader's convenience we recall its very simple and short proof. Given $a \in A_1 = A_0 + A_1$ and $t > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we choose a decomposition $a = a_0 + a_1$ such that

$$\|a_0\|_{A_0} + \frac{tC_1}{C_0}\|a_1\|_{A_1} \leq (1+\varepsilon)K\left(\frac{tC_1}{C_0}, a; A_0, A_1\right).$$

Then $Ta = Ta - Ta_0 + Ta_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, Ta; B_0, B_1) &\leq \|Ta\|_{B_0} + t\|Ta - Ta_0\|_{B_1} \\ &\leq C_0\|a_0\|_{A_0} + tC_1\|a - a_0\|_{A_1} \\ &= C_0\left(\|a_0\|_{A_0} + \frac{tC_1}{C_0}\|a_1\|\right) \\ &\leq C_0(1+\varepsilon)K\left(\frac{tC_1}{C_0}, a; A_0, A_1\right) \end{aligned}$$

and this immediately implies that

$$K(t, Ta; B_0, B_1) \leq C_0 K\left(\frac{tC_1}{C_0}, a; A_0, A_1\right). \tag{4}$$

Exactly as in the "classical" case of linear operators, the estimate (3) and the inclusion $T\left((A_0, A_1)_{\theta,p}\right) \subset (B_0, B_1)_{\theta,p}$ are obvious and trivial consequences of (4), especially after we observe that (4) is equivalent to

$$t^{-\theta}K(t, Ta; B_0, B_1) \leq C_0^{1-\theta}C_1^{\theta}\left(\frac{tC_1}{C_0}\right)^{-\theta} K\left(\frac{tC_1}{C_0}, a; A_0, A_1\right).$$

□

In the work of Persson, and also in many subsequent papers, for example, [4] and [2], it was shown that compactness results for the special case where the "range" couple (B_0, B_1) satisfies $B_0 = B_1$ can be the first step towards obtaining corresponding compactness results for more general couples (B_0, B_1). The prototype of these results for the case $B_0 = B_1$ is a classical result of Jacques-Louis Lions and Jaak Peetre, often referred to as the "Lions–Peetre Lemma". It appears as Théorème 2.2 on p. 37 of [9]. It is remarked in [9] that similar ideas about compactness appear in the work [7] of Emilio Gagliardo. Here too, a variant of the Lions–Peetre Lemma will be an important ingredient for us. We present it as the following lemma. Its proof is quite similar to the one for linear operators in [9]. Another quite similar result, for the case where $T : A_0 \to B_0$ is also Lipschitz and where A_0 is not necessarily contained in A_1, is due to Fernando Cobos and appears as part (i) of Theorem 2.1 on p. 274 of his paper [2].

Lemma 2. *Suppose that the Banach couples* (A_0, A_1) *and* (B_0, B_1) *and the map* $T : A_1 \to B_1$ *satisfy all the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Suppose, furthermore, that* T *maps every bounded subset of* A_0 *to a relatively compact subset of* B_0*. Then, for each* $\theta \in (0,1)$*, and each* $p \in [1, \infty]$*,* T *maps every bounded subset of* $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta,p}$ *to a relatively compact subset of* $B_0 + B_1$*.*

In particular, if $B_0 = B_1$*, then* T *maps every bounded subset of* $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta,p}$ *to a relatively compact subset of* B_0*.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an arbitrary bounded sequence in $(A_0,A_1)_{\theta,p}$. Then it is also a bounded sequence in $(A_0,A_1)_{\theta,\infty}$. So, for each $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, and some constant C_2 we can write $a_n = u_n(m)+v_n(m)$ where

$$\left\|u_n(m)\right\|_{A_0} + 2^m\left\|v_n(m)\right\|_{A_1} \le C_2 2^{\theta m}\,.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\|Tu_n(m)\right\|_{B_0} + 2^m\left\|Ta_n - Tu_n(m)\right\|_{B_1} \\ \le\ & \max\{C_0,C_1\}\left(\left\|u_n(m)\right\|_{A_0} + 2^m\left\|a_n-u_n(m)\right\|_{A_1}\right) \le C_3\cdot 2^{\theta m}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\left\|Ta_n - Tu_n(m)\right\|_{B_1} \le C_3\cdot 2^{-(1-\theta)m}. \tag{5}$$

We can suppose, by passing to subsequences and using Cantor diagonalization, that, for each fixed $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, the sequence $\{Tu_n(m)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in B_0 norm, as n tends to ∞, to some element in B_0 depending on m. More relevantly for us, this means that

$$\left\|Tu_n(m) - Tu_k(m)\right\|_{B_0} \le \rho(m,n,k), \tag{6}$$

where $\lim_{n,k\to\infty}\rho(m,n,k)=0$ for each fixed m. (The rate of convergence here may depend on m.)

For each n and k in $\mathbb{N}$ we have

$$Ta_n - Ta_k = (Ta_n - Tu_n(m)) + (Tu_n(m) - Tu_k(m)) + (Tu_k(m) - Ta_k).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\|Ta_n - Ta_k\right\|_{B_0+B_1} \\ \le\ & \left\|Ta_n - Tu_n(m)\right\|_{B_1} + \left\|Tu_n(m) - Tu_k(m)\right\|_{B_0} + \left\|Tu_k(m) - Ta_k\right\|_{B_1} \\ \le\ & C_3\cdot 2^{-(1-\theta)m} + \rho(m,n,k) + C_3\cdot 2^{-(1-\theta)m}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Given any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $m=m(\varepsilon)$ for which $2\cdot C_3\cdot 2^{-(1-\theta)m} < \varepsilon/2$. Then, for this choice of m, there exists $N=N(\varepsilon)$ such that $\rho(m,n,k)<\varepsilon/2$ for all $n,k\ge N(\varepsilon)$. Thus we deduce from (7) that $\left\|Ta_n - Ta_k\right\|_{B_0+B_1} < \varepsilon$ whenever $n,k\ge N(\varepsilon)$ and the proof is complete. ☐

Let us recall the condition "(H)" on Banach couples (B_0,B_1) which was introduced by Arne Persson in [11]. The following formulation of it looks very slightly different but is obviously equivalent.

(H) For each compact subset K of B_0, there exists a positive constant $c(K)$ and a set $\mathcal{D}(K)$ of linear operators $P: B_0+B_1\to B_0\cap B_1$ which satisfy

$$\|Pb\|_{B_j}\le c(K)\|b\|_{B_j} \text{ for each } b\in B_j \text{ and } j=0,1\,.$$

Furthermore, for each $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $P_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{D}(K)$ such that

$$\|P_\varepsilon b - b\|_{B_0} < \varepsilon \text{ for each } b\in K\,.$$

Remark 3. Many Banach couples which occur in applications satisfy condition (H). For example, essentially the same arguments as on pp. 217–219 of [11] show that the couple (L^{p_0},L^{p_1}) on an arbitrary underlying measure space satisfies condition (H) for all $p_0\in[1,\infty)$ and $p_1\in[1,\infty]$, and that if the measure space is finite, then this also holds when $p_0=\infty$.

Here is our main result. Its proof is a natural extension of the proof of the theorem on p. 217 of [11].

Theorem 4. *Suppose that the Banach couples* (A_0, A_1) *and* (B_0, B_1) *and the map* $T : A_1 \to B_1$ *satisfy all the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Suppose, furthermore, that the couple* (B_0, B_1) *has Arne Persson's property (H) and that there exists some compact subset* K *of* B_0 *for which*

$$Ta \in \|a\|_{A_0} K \text{ for each } a \in A_0 \,. \tag{8}$$

Then T *maps every bounded subset of* $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta,p}$ *to a relatively compact subset of* $(B_0, B_1)_{\theta,p}$ *for each* $\theta \in (0,1)$ *and each* $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Proof. The condition (8) ensures that every non zero element $a \in A_0$ satisfies $\frac{1}{\|a\|_{A_0}} Ta \in K$. So, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, Persson's property provides us with a linear operator $P_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ such that

$$\left\| P_\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\|a\|_{A_0}} Ta \right) - \frac{1}{\|a\|_{A_0}} Ta \right\|_{B_0} < \varepsilon \,. \tag{9}$$

We shall show that the map $P_\varepsilon T - T$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1 with C_0 and C_1 replaced by certain other constants:

Since P_ε is linear, the condition (9) implies that $\| (P_\varepsilon T - T) a\|_{B_0} \le \varepsilon \|a\|_{A_0}$ holds for each non zero $a \in A_0$. This estimate also holds for $a = 0$, since $T0 = 0$ (by (8) or (1)).

Each pair of elements $a, a' \in A_1$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \| (P_\varepsilon T - T) a' - (P_\varepsilon T - T) a\|_{B_1} &\le \|P_\varepsilon (Ta' - Ta)\|_{B_1} + \|Ta' - Ta\|_{B_1} \\ &\le (c(K) + 1) \|Ta' - Ta\|_{B_1} \\ &\le C_1 (c(K) + 1) \|a' - a\|_{A_1} \,. \end{aligned}$$

The preceding estimates enable us to apply Theorem 1 with C_0 and C_1 replaced by ε and $C_1(c(K) + 1)$ to obtain that

$$\| (P_\varepsilon T - T) a\|_{(B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}} \le \varepsilon^{1-\theta} \left(C_1 (c(K) + 1)\right)^\theta \|a\|_{(A_0,A_1)_{\theta,p}} \text{ for all } a \in (A_0, A_1)_{\theta,p} \,. \tag{10}$$

Our next step is to apply Lemma 2 to the map $P_\varepsilon T$, but with the couple (B_0, B_1) replaced by $\left((B_0, B_1)_{\theta,p}, (B_0, B_1)_{\theta,p}\right)$. As pointed out by Persson, the Closed Graph Theorem ensures that P_ε is a bounded map from B_j to $B_0 \cap B_1$ for $j = 0, 1$. Therefore $P_\varepsilon : B_j \to (B_0, B_1)_{\theta,p}$ is also bounded and we have $P_\varepsilon T (A_j) \subset (B_0, B_1)_{\theta,p}$.

Each element $a \in A_0$ satisfies

$$\|P_\varepsilon Ta\|_{(B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}} \le \|P_\varepsilon\|_{B_0 \to (B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}} \|Ta\|_{B_0} \le C_0 \|P_\varepsilon\|_{B_0 \to (B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}} \|a\|_{A_0}$$

and each pair of elements $a, a' \in A_1$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_\varepsilon Ta' - P_\varepsilon Ta\|_{(B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}} \\ \le\ & \|P_\varepsilon\|_{B_1 \to (B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}} \|Ta' - Ta\|_{B_1} \le C_1 \|P_\varepsilon\|_{B_1 \to (B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}} \|a' - a\|_{A_1} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Let M be a bounded subset of A_0. T maps M to a relatively compact subset of B_0, and thus $P_\varepsilon T$ maps M to a relatively compact subset of $(B_0, B_1)_{\theta,p}$ since $P_\varepsilon : B_0 \to (B_0, B_1)_{\theta,p}$ is continuous.

In view of all these properties of $P_\varepsilon T$, we can apply the last part of the statement of Lemma 2 to obtain that $P_\varepsilon T$ maps each bounded subset of $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta,p}$ to a relatively compact subset of $(B_0, B_1)_{\theta,p}$.

For the final step of the proof we let M be an arbitrary bounded subset of $(A_0,A_1)_{\theta,p}$. We have to show that $T(M)$ is relatively compact in $(B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}$. In fact we will show that it is totally bounded in $(B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}$.

Let ε be an arbitrary positive number and choose $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that

$$\varepsilon_0^{1-\theta}\left(C_1\left(C\left(K\right)+1\right)\right)^{\theta}\|a\|_{(A_0,A_1)_{\theta,p}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\text{ for all }a\in M\,.$$

In view of (10), this ensures that

$$\|\left(P_{\varepsilon_0}T-T\right)a\|_{(B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\text{ for all }a\in M\,. \tag{11}$$

Since $P_{\varepsilon_0}T\left(M\right)$ is relatively compact and thus totally bounded in $(B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}$, the inclusion $P_{\varepsilon_0}T\left(M\right)\subset\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}B\left(x_i,\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$ holds for some finite subset $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ of $(B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}$. (Here of course $B(x,r)$ denotes the open ball in $(B_0,B_1)_{\theta,p}$ of radius r centred at x.) This, together with the inclusion $T\left(M\right)\subset\left(T-P_{\varepsilon_0}T\right)\left(M\right)+P_{\varepsilon_0}T\left(M\right)$ and (11), gives us that $T\left(M\right)\subset\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}B\left(x_i,\varepsilon\right)$ and completes the proof. $\square$

References

[1] A. P. Calderón, Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method, Studia Math. 24 (1964), 113–190.

[2] F. Cobos, On interpolation of compact non-linear operators, Bull. London Math. Soc. **22** (1990), 273–280.

[3] F. Cobos, T. Kühn and T. Schonbek, One-sided compactness results for Aronszajn-Gagliardo functors. J. Functional Analysis 106 (1992), 274–313.

[4] F. Cobos and J. Peetre, Interpolation of compactness using Aronszajn-Gagliardo functors. Israel J. Math. 68 (1989), 220–240.

[5] M. Cwikel, Real and complex interpolation and extrapolation of compact operators. Duke Math. J. 65 (1992) 333–343.

[6] M. Cwikel and A. Itsvan, Counterexamples for interpolation of compact Lipschitz operators. `arXiv:0906.2432`.

[7] E. Gagliardo, Una struttura unitaria in diverse famiglie di spazi funzionali (I), *Ricerche di Matematica,* **10** (1961), 245–281.

[8] J.-L. Lions, Some remarks on variational inequalities, Proc. Internat. Conf. Functional Analysis and Related Topics (Tokyo 1969), Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1970, 269–282.

[9] J. L. Lions and J. Peetre, Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation. *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.,* **19** (1964), 5–68.

[10] J. Peetre, Interpolation of Lipschitz operators and metric spaces. Mathematica (Cluj) **12** (1970), 325–334.

[11] A. Persson, Compact linear mappings between interpolation spaces. Ark. Mat. 5 (1964), 215–219.

[12] L. Tartar, Interpolation non linéaire et régularité, J. Functional Analysis 9 (1972), 469–489.

M.C. and A.I.: Department of Mathematics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel.

E.T.: Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, CSCAMM, 4149 CSIC Building #406, Paint Branch Drive, College Park, MD 20742-2389, USA.

E-mail address: `mcwikel@math.technion.ac.il`, `aloniv@techunix.technion.ac.il` *and* `tadmor@cscamm.umd.edu`